

Minutes of a meeting of the
Worthing Council
19 July 2022
at 6.30 pm

Councillor Ferdousi Henna Chowdhury (Chair)

Councillor Lionel Harman	Councillor Richard Nowak
Councillor Noel Atkins	Councillor Dale Overton
*Councillor Roy Barraclough	Councillor Jon Roser
Councillor Mike Barrett	Councillor Helen Silman
Councillor Ibsha Choudhury	Councillor Dawn Smith
Councillor Russ Cochran	Councillor Sally Smith
Councillor Dr Beccy Cooper	*Councillor Elizabeth Sparkes
*Councillor Jim Deen	Councillor Emma Taylor
*Councillor Rita Garner	Councillor Samuel Theodoridi
Councillor Cathy Glynn-Davies	Councillor Hazel Thorpe
Councillor Dan Hermitage	Councillor John Turley
Councillor Margaret Howard	Councillor Steve Waight
Councillor Daniel Humphreys	Councillor Carl Walker
Councillor Charles James	Councillor Vicki Wells
*Councillor Kevin Jenkins	Councillor Andy Whight
Councillor Martin McCabe	* Councillor Rosey Whorlow
Councillor Dr Heather Mercer	
Councillor Nigel Morgan	
Councillor Richard Mulholland	

*=Absent

C/14/22-23 Apologies for Absence

The Mayor received apologies for absence from Councillors Roy Barraclough, Jim Deen, Rita Garner, Kevin Jenkins, Elizabeth Sparkes and Rosey Whorlow.

C/15/22-23 Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

C/16/22-23 Confirmation of Minutes

Resolved that the minutes of the meeting held on 20 May 2022 be approved as a correct record and that they be signed by the Mayor.

C/17/22-23 Questions from the Public

The Mayor advised that 3 questions had been received in advance of the meeting.

1. Question submitted by Mr Keith Sunderland, a Worthing Resident

Our community food network is extremely concerned about the current cost of living crisis. We are not able to meet the rising demand as it currently stands, food donations and available funding for food continues to drop.

Just one member of our food network is feeding 800 people a week, and will close by mid-August due to lack of food and funds. They work with 80 volunteers who provide over £250,000 of labour and infrastructure support each year.

We know there are hundreds of people, children and families out there who do not have enough food in their cupboards and are not currently accessing support.

If we fail to support our neighbours with food, fuel and housing this will cost the council significantly more money in the near future and have ramifications for many years to come.

It is not only the financial costs of us closing down that are significant but the impact it has on people's lives. The trauma of hunger and food insecurity causes mental and physical health issues. We are seriously concerned that people are not just going to get ill, but are going to die, and are especially worried about the older neighbours in our community.

We know already about proactive work and the preventative approach being done, but the need is now.

As this is an emergency, will you transfer at least £100,000 financial resources from your reserve funds into your emergency fund for food support?

The Deputy Leader replied that the Council shared the concerns. On the agenda was an item for consideration where it was hoped that the Council would be declaring a cost of living emergency. He thanked all of the organisations who had given huge amounts of their own personal time, resources and energy in order to support residents who were most in need. The mantra of the food network was well known, food space money. The Council understood what the need looked like.

The Council, through the cost of living emergency, had to deliver in whatever way it possibly could. The commitment in the Labour manifesto was to put £100,000 into discretionary support but it had been decided to set up a specific cost of living emergency budget stream in order to use the money to the best effect. In the next few days the Council would convene an emergency panel of relevant stakeholders which would include members of the food network to talk about how to best get that money, as quickly as possible, to those who are most in need. The Council would also be developing a cost of living emergency strategy that was short, medium and long term but that would be after the emergency. The Deputy Leader recognised that right now there were a lot of organisations that were on their knees.

Looking at the budget, the Council was currently looking at the capital programme where it could make changes in order to support the infrastructure of those organisations. The Council would also be looking at the responses provided by other local authorities to see what levers they were using to get resources to the places it was needed as quickly as possible.

As part of the emergency response, the Council was working with West Sussex County Council to make sure that the funding coming down from central government filtered down as quickly as possible, in the most effective way, to the localities where it was needed. There was a huge amount of work going on behind the scenes to get as much resource as possible.

There was a legal requirement to balance the Council's budget and deliver statutory duties and services. The Council also had a responsibility to its residents who were struggling to feed themselves and their families to ensure that those needs were met.

Mr Sunderland asked as a supplementary question, that the Council advocate that the local food network was more than capable of deciding who should receive the food and for them to administer it, not having a community hub that had pay implications, because the money needed to be used for providing food, not providing jobs.

The Deputy Leader assured Mr Sunderland that the Council would lobby West Sussex County Council as hard as it could, to ensure as much resource as possible went to where it was needed.

2. Question submitted by Mr James Vinicombe, a Worthing Business Owner

In 2013 Worthing Borough Council was unanimous in supporting a motion from Cllr John Rogers asking West Sussex County Council to take a wide range of measures "to actively encourage even more cycling by residents and visitors".

The County Council's claim to "take the provision of cycle routes seriously" has proved an empty promise; in practice "as and when resources allow" has meant that cycling is never prioritised; and 8 years later the £161,000 of Section 106 money that is allocated to cycling has not been used to the benefit of the community and sits in the bank losing value to inflation.

Transition Town Worthing's Transport Group has actively supported the Waves Ahead Conference on transport, the Adur & Worthing Cycling and Walking Action Group, the public consultation on the Adur & Worthing Local Walking & Cycling Infrastructure Plan LCWIP, the Climate Conference and the Climate Assembly.

All of these initiatives have shown public support for measures to encourage active travel; and urgent action is needed on climate, health, and air pollution. How will Worthing Borough Council turn that support and that need for urgency, into action?

The Cabinet Member for Resources replied Worthing had a reputation as a great place to live and visit and for many, also as a place to work. The Census in 2011 contained data around people in Worthing who worked and where they worked. 55% of people in Worthing who worked, worked in Worthing, which wasn't a great stat, as nearly half of working residents worked elsewhere.

It was really important to make sure that there were well paid local jobs for people in Worthing. This didn't have to be through global companies, there were companies in Worthing that were not household names that had a global reach.

Worthing had seen rapid growth in certain sectors, such as in the CreaTech sector which the Council sought to encourage.

The Cabinet Member believed that by making Worthing a great place to live and spend time, then good employers were more likely to come. This could be achieved by having a more attractive town centre, gateways into the town, more things for people to do and by improving the cultural entertainment offer. He also believed that locally owned employers were more likely to employ local people and invest locally. So the Council needed to be supporting locally owned employers.

The Council wanted to see wealth that was created in Worthing, redirected back into the local economy. There would be policies to that effect coming out in the next few months.

Another significant challenge was posed by the lack of commercial space. The Council was developing Decoy Farm and was looking to attract high quality, private sector investment to the site.

Skills were also an area of challenge. The Council needed to work with local educators to boost the skills of younger people in the town, so that businesses had a local talent pool to draw from.

The Council was determined to do whatever it could to promote good jobs in the town. Fortunately, there were some excellent local business groups, including the Adur and Worthing Business Partnership, the Local Chamber of Commerce, Coastal West Sussex and the Council worked in partnership with these to promote Worthing's offer for businesses and to support businesses.

Commercial agents were also used to sell Worthing to prospective businesses and the Council also had a working relationship with the Department for International Trade to generate global interest.

The good business charter, which the Local Chamber of Commerce had signed up to, would also be signed by Worthing Borough Council and the Council would be supporting others to do so. The good business charter was there to promote living wage, netter salaries, better terms and conditions for employees, environmental responsibility and ethical sourcing.

This was a key area for the Council and the local economy and the Council was happy to work with anyone who shared that vision.

Mr Vinicombe did not ask his second question.

C/18/22-23 Appointment of the Deputy Mayor

Following Cllr Rita Garner stepping down from the role of Deputy Mayor to join the Cabinet, Council was required to appoint a new Deputy Mayor for the remainder of the 2022-23 Municipal Year.

The Mayor proposed that Councillor Jim Deen be appointed to serve as Deputy Mayor in 2022/23, the proposal was seconded by Councillor Hazel Thorpe.

Resolved that Councillor Jim Deen be appointed as Deputy Mayor for the remainder of the 2022/23 Municipal Year.

C/19/22-23 Announcements by the Mayor, Leader of the Council, Executive Members or the Head of Paid Service

The Mayor provided a summary of the civic and charity events that she had attended and supported since the Annual Council meeting.

The Leader proposed the following appointments to Committees following Cllr Garner's appointment to the Cabinet:-

- Cllr Dan Hermitage to replace Cllr Helen Silman on the Joint Governance Committee;
- Cllr Mike Barrett - Chair of the Joint Governance Committee;
- Cllr Dan Hermitage - Vice-Chair of the Joint Governance Committee;
- Cllr Cathy Glynn-Davies appointed to the Joint Overview & Scrutiny Committee and the Worthing Overview & Scrutiny Committee; and
- Cllr Cathy Glynn-Davies to be the Council's representative on the West Sussex Health and Adult Social Care Committee

The proposed appointments were seconded by Cllr Sam Theodoridi.

Following a vote (For 28, Against 0, Abstentions 3) it was

Resolved: That Worthing Borough Council approved the appointments as set out above.

The Leader also highlighted the upcoming Big Listening Campaign and encouraged Councillors and residents to participate wherever possible.

There were no announcements from the Cabinet Members or the Chief Executive.

C/20/22-23 Items raised under Urgency Provisions

There were no urgent items raised under the urgency provisions.

C/21/22-23 Recommendations from the Cabinet and Committees to Council

Council had, before it, recommendations from the Joint Governance Committee, the Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee and the Joint Strategic Committee.

Extracts of these minutes had been circulated as items 8A, 8B and 8C(i) to (iii).

Item 8A Joint Governance Committee - 31 May 2022

Joint Governance Committee Appointments: Parish Councillors

The Chair of the Joint Governance Committee, Cllr Barrett, proposed the recommendations from the meeting held on 31 May 2022. The proposal was seconded by Councillor Sally Smith and following a vote (For 29, Against 0, Abstentions 2):

Resolved: That Worthing Borough Council approved the appointment of Cllr Mike Mendoza as a Co-opted Member (from Lancing Parish Council) of the Joint Governance Committee for 2022/23 and the appointment of Cllr John Salisbury as a Co-opted Member (from Sompting Parish Council) of the Joint Governance Committee for 2022/23.

Item 8B Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee - 9 June 2022

Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee Annual Report 2021/22

The Chair of the Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee, Cllr Jon Roser, proposed the recommendation from the Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting held on 9 June 2022.

The proposal was seconded by Councillor Sally Smith and unanimously supported.

Resolved: That Worthing Borough Council approved the Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee Annual Report for 2021/22.

Item 8C(i) Joint Strategic Committee - 5 July 2022

Financial Performance 2021/22 - Revenue Outturn

The Leader proposed the recommendations from the Joint Strategic Committee meeting held on 5 July 2022.

The proposal was seconded by Councillor Ibsha Choudhury and unanimously supported.

Resolved: That Worthing Borough Council approved the net appropriations from General Fund Reserves in the year, as detailed in section 6 of the report, totalling: Adur District Council £2,050,390 and Worthing Borough Council £4,971,169.

Item 8C(ii) Joint Strategic Committee - 5 July 2022

Financial Performance 2021/22 - Capital & Projects Outturn

The Leader proposed the recommendations from the Joint Strategic Committee meeting held on 5 July 2022.

The proposal was seconded by Councillor John Turley and unanimously supported.

Resolved: That Worthing Borough Council

- a) noted the overall capital final outturn for 2021/22;
- b) agreed the net carry over of General Fund Capital underspends for Worthing Borough Council as detailed in paragraph 5.10 of the report;
- c) approved the financing of the Worthing Borough Council 2021/22 Capital Investment Programme, including the use of capital receipts as set out in paragraphs 5.7 and 5.8 of the report; and

- d) approved the carry forward of Council resources underspends to fund budget pressures as detailed in paragraph 4.2.2. and summarised in paragraph 5.15 of the report.

Item 8C(iii) Joint Strategic Committee - 5 July 2022

Developing a revenue budget for 2023/24 against a backdrop of high inflation

The Leader proposed the recommendations from the Joint Strategic Committee meeting held on 5 July 2022.

The proposal was seconded by Councillor John Turley and unanimously supported.

Resolved: That Worthing Borough Council approved the Budget Strategy for 2022/23, as outlined in Section 9 of the report, noting that the budget strategy for Worthing Borough Council would be developed to fall in line with the new economic strategy which would be brought to the Joint Strategic Sub-Committee (Worthing) in September, and would have due regard to the joint arrangements with Adur District Council.

C/22/22-23 Report of the Leader on Decisions taken by the Cabinet

The Leader of the Council presented their report on decisions taken by the Cabinet since the last meeting of the Council, which were detailed in Item 9.

Questions were received in relation to the future of Public Space Protection Orders and the cost of additional community engagement work.

C/23/22-23 Members Questions under Council Procedure Rule 12

The Mayor announced that the Proper Officer had received 7 questions from Members in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12. She advised that one supplementary question could be asked which must arise out of the original question, or, the reply.

Questions would be asked in rotation of the Groups represented in the Chamber and there were 30 minutes allowed for questions with 6 rotations of speakers possible. At the end of 30 minutes the Mayor explained that she would extend the time to conclude the current rotation of questions.

The Mayor announced that the following Councillors had submitted questions:

Councillors Atkins, Thorpe, Cochran, Nowak and Mercer.

First rotation:

Question 1 from Councillor Noel Atkins to the Leader

At the public meeting at Chatsmore farm Cllr Cooper you announced to the public that you wanted more brown-field sites to come forward. What brown-field sites have you been able to identify so far coming forward?

The Leader replied that the Council was actively looking at all opportunities for brownfield sites, particularly to find much needed land for emergency and temporary accommodation. The Council was reviewing its own land holdings as well as watching closely when property came to market. In addition, the Council was keen to engage West Sussex and other partners.

Cllr Atkins asked a supplementary question regarding the provision of new homes on brownfield sites such as Teville Gate.

The Leader advised that the new administration was absolutely committed to looking at the social housing stock and increasing it in any way they could. In terms of the brownfield sites that were available, the Council was reviewing what were the best options and models and the most affordable way to deliver more social housing on these sites.

Question 2 from Councillor Hazel Thorpe to the Leader

I am sure that you are aware that

- *Not being able to pay bills on time is an indicator of the cost of living crisis.*
- *The closure of bank branches in many parts of Worthing, the removal of as many as one in four ATM machines making it harder for working people and pensioners to access cash and the failure of large banks to offer mobile banking services to compensate for the closure of branches is causing unnecessary hardship to our residents.*
- *Not all local residents are confident about using online banking facilities because of concerns about technology and internet banking scams and small businesses necessarily deal with cash and require local facilities for banking cash and obtaining change.*

Therefore are you willing to promote and encourage the use of some of the replacements for traditional banking trialled by the Cash Action Group in particular;

- *to encourage in those parts of Worthing where branches have been closed the use of shared banking hubs and mobile banking facilities, and*
- *by carrying out a study to determine the current and future needs of Worthing residents for the delivery of banking services.*

The Leader replied that she was in agreement with what had been said. A number of banks in Marine Ward had been closed and she had numerous older residents getting in touch to say that they were really struggling to get into town to access banks.

In terms of solutions, the Leader was happy to meet with Cllr Thorpe to discuss the cash action group and what could be done to support those residents who found it more difficult to use online banking services.

Councillor Thorpe asked a supplementary question regarding the timeline for initiating such an initiative.

The Leader suggested meeting with Cllr Thorpe to determine and put forward a timeline.

Second rotation

Question 3 from Councillor Russ Cochran to the Deputy Leader

With a total of £368 million being distributed amongst 650 wards nationally, solely for ring-fenced, ward specific, Youth Projects in the UK, We were all very pleased to hear that Northbrook ward was granted this and is also the only qualifying ward in Worthing.

This money is being made available by the government to directly improve health and wellbeing of young people, equip young people with skills for work and life, empower young people to be active members of their communities and society

Therefore a potential share given to the ward, for the council to apply for, is an award worth up to around £550,000 and even possibly more if the right approach is made in the application,

The council, by now should have acted swiftly to secure funding for Youth work in the ward and proposals will hopefully incorporate a youth centre or working project to ensure we utilise and build on any strengths in the area by encompassing green areas of the ward such as Whitebeam woods and Northbrook pond, with further community bring-ins such as; to Ensure Food banks are well supported with a working kitchen in such a centre where families can not only receive food parcels, but to save on rising Energy costs and concerns, be cooked for, by their own youths and fed in a restaurant style manner, this is all working towards a demonstration youths are empowered, are able to take ownership, whilst taking care of many concerns under one banner and providing deprived areas of the community with a chance to unite.

The ultimate goal here would be a purpose built working youth centre and a proposal had previously already been forwarded and several sites had been identified to pursue

That said, Progress and updates in the application seem to have been lacking since the change in administration as there has been no communication inclusively as ward councillor.

As I am without any current data to share or relay openly regarding it, residents will be pleased to hear what has now been put in place to obtain the central government funds via any material plans via an application, after it was announced it had been awarded.

Can I obtain a pledge on record from the executive on behalf of local residents that an application has been pursued?

The Deputy Leader replied that the Council was currently undertaking an options appraisal with regards to applying to the Department for Culture Media and Sport (DMCS) Youth Inclusion Funding (YIF).

There were 45 upper tier authorities and 600 wards that were eligible to make an application, and applications could be made by both statutory and civil society sectors (including voluntary sector, community and uniformed youth groups). This could include for example; schools, housing associations, development trusts, health trusts, police commissioners or local youth partnerships. Worthing had 1 eligible ward, which was Northbrook.

Applications could be made as of September 2022 with the overall programme ceasing in March 2025, and the Council aimed to have the draft options appraisal ready for the beginning of August, which would need to be consulted on and agreed by key decision makers and wider stakeholders.

The fund was weighted to provide 80% of capital investment and a maximum of 20% in revenue funding, an expectation of the fund, was that successful applicants would be able to satisfy the awarding body that all matters in terms of the physical asset building, such as planning, lease, land ownership were secure and as such warranted the investment. Additionally the Council would need to be able to identify that the related revenue costs for running the facility were either in place or were self-sustaining through an income generating finance model.

Sites had to have a primary focus for young people, but dual use was an acceptable operating model, if this was how the primary youth focus and delivery was sustained in terms of revenue.

Cllr Cochran asked a supplemental question about the transparency of the application process.

The Deputy Leader replied that the big listening campaign sought to reach into all parts of the town and establish how the Council could best support residents. He was happy to have conversations with Cllr Cochran and residents in Northbrook around this. In terms of taking forward this application, the Council wanted to do this in the most effective way possible to try and get the best possible application for the people of Northbrook.

Third rotation

Question 4 from Councillor Richard Nowak to the Cabinet Member for Environmental Services

Would the Cabinet Member for Environmental Services please confirm what the latest available data reveals regarding the percentage of domestic waste being recycled in the borough?

The Cabinet Member replied that recycling rates vary throughout the year and over time so looking at one snapshot was of limited use - overall trends were more informative

She had liaised with the officers and the latest DEFRA figures released in May showed that Worthing achieved a recycling rate of 41.5% from April 2020 - March 2021. This was an increase of 1.5% from the previous year - itself an increase of 2.4% from April 2018 - March 2019.

The Cabinet Member was happy to note this increased trend, but clearly there was more that the Council could do to increase the recycling rate in the Borough. The Council was committed to improving its recycling rates and was aiming to exceed national recycling targets every year.

Overall, the amount of household recycling in England actually fell by 1.5% in 2020 to an unimpressive 44%, well below the EU minimum target of 50%.

Data for April 2021 - March 2022 would be released by DEFRA in May next year and the Cabinet Member would be liaising closely with the officers to review the level of progress made in Worthing.

Cllr Nowak asked how this would be achieved as a supplementary question.

The Cabinet Member replied that the national ambition is to recycle 65% of municipal waste by 2035. So in the next 13 years, the Council would need to increase recycling rates by 23.5%. This was at an average of 1.8% per year.

The three main ways being proposed to improve recycling rates moving forwards were through increased education, collection of food waste and the collection of small electronic items such as kettles, irons and toys.

Fourth rotation

Question 5 from Councillor Richard Nowak to the Cabinet Member for Climate Emergency

Would the Cabinet Member for Climate Emergency please advise whether or not the commitment made by her Cabinet colleague Cllr Wells during her election campaign to work to improve the biodiversity of every green space in the Borough of Worthing is endorsed by her and is it the Labour administration's official policy?

The Cabinet Member replied that she was delighted to endorse Cllr Well's commitment to work to improve the biodiversity in all the Borough's green spaces. Working to improve biodiversity wherever possible in the town was one element in the Council's response to the twin emergencies of Climate Change and Nature Degradation. The word biodegradable did not appear in the administration's manifesto, as such, but it was implicit in all of the administration's green and environmental policies. It was the administration's policy to improve the natural plant and animal life across the Borough.

Cllr Nowak asked a supplementary question regarding the work commissioned by the Cabinet Member to understand and measure the current level of biodiversity in each and every green space in Worthing, particularly the parks and recreation grounds, and how long we will have to wait before she publishes the data and specific plans for biodiversity improvement in those green spaces.

The Cabinet Member replied that there was some overlap between the environmental services and climate emergency portfolios. The broad differentiation was that the Climate Emergency portfolio was primarily concerned with the Council meeting its targets in 2030

and 2045 for global warming emissions. Cllr Wells was responsible for the Borough's parks and green spaces. There was an overlap and, as such, the Cabinet Member for Climate Emergency would need to refer the question regarding data and specific plans to the Cabinet Member for Environmental Services.

Fifth rotation

Question 6 from Councillor Richard Nowak to the Leader

What value does the Leader and her Labour administration place on securing green spaces in this Borough for future generations?

The Leader replied that the Council placed an incredibly high premium on the green spaces in the Borough both for now and for future generations. It was incredibly important on many levels. The Council had to address the Climate Emergency and make sure that the health and wellbeing of all residents is addressed by green spaces. Green spaces have an immense positive benefit in terms of physical and mental wellbeing. The Council was committed to ensure that the green spaces in the Borough are preserved, that they thrive, are used well by local communities and promote biodiversity now and in the future.

Cllr Nowak asked a supplementary question regarding the acquisition of land at Chatsmore Farm for Worthing residents.

The Leader replied that she would wait to see what the outcome of this week's high court action was. If it was successful, she would look to enter discussions with Persimmons to see what the best way forward was.

Sixth rotation

Question 7 from Councillor Heather Mercer to the Leader

Can the Leader advise Council as to the cost of the recent WSCC election for Worthing West, on the 7th July, called because of your resignation when you became leader of the Council despite stating frequently, in the last 6 months, to being, 'the administration in waiting'.

The Leader replied that the exact cost of the election held on 7 July was not yet known as not all aspects of the election had been invoiced. However, the Leader had been advised that a ballpark figure would be in the region of £7-10k.

As a supplementary question, Councillor Mercer asked why Cllr Cooper had not resigned from the County Council prior to the local elections to save Council Tax Payers money and prevent extra work for Officers

The Leader replied that it had been possible to balance being a County Councillor and Leader of the Opposition in the Borough Council. However, on becoming Leader of Worthing Borough Council it had no longer been possible to sit as a County Councillor.

** The meeting was adjourned at 8.10pm, reconvening at 8.19pm.*

*** Cllr Heather Mercer left the meeting during the adjournment.*

C/24/22-23 Motions on Notice

Members had a motion before them proposed by Councillor Carl Walker and seconded by Councillor Emma Taylor.

The motion was debated and following a vote (For 29, Against 0, Abstentions 1) it was

Resolved:

This Council recognised that violence against women and girls could include, or be linked to, domestic abuse. This was often a hidden crime unreported to the police and although both men and women were affected, incidence and severity were much greater for women. Domestic and family violence could affect well being and developmental growth of children and teenagers both in the short and long term.

The Coronavirus pandemic had further caused what one worker described as ‘an epidemic beneath a pandemic’ The charity Refuge reported that in the year between February 2020 and March 2021 72% of calls to their helpline were from women who said they were experiencing violence and abuse, and nearly a fifth said their abuser had threatened to kill them. Tragically, statistics showed that more female homicide victims were killed by a partner or ex-partner than by strangers.

It was imperative we learnt from and acted on the weaknesses in our systems and structures the pandemic had so starkly exposed. The Council had a well developed network of support via the Wellbeing team, links with the Police, the Housing needs team plus connection with Worth, West Sussex specialist services and the Women’s accommodation support officer. This Council together with all parts of society should play their part in tackling domestic violence’.

The meeting ended at 9.16 pm